NSIS

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2016-09-3928

Judge: James Brogan

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENA ON ROBERT HORTON

Defendants, Kisling, Nestico & Redick, LLC, hereby gives notice that Defendant perfected service, under Civ.R. 45, of the attached subpoena on Robert Horton via electronic mail on January 14, 2019, to Mr. Horton's attorney Thomas A. Skidmore, who agreed to accept service of the subpoena on his client's behalf.

Respectfully Submitted,

By:/s/ Thomas P. Mannion

Thomas P. Mannion (0062551) LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 1375 E. 9th Street, Suite 2250 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Tel. 216.344.9422 Fax 216.344.9421

/s/ James M. Popson James M. Popson (0072773) Brian E. Roof (0071451) Sutter O'Connell 1301 East 9th Street 3600 Erieview Tower Cleveland, OH 44114 (216) 928-2200 phone (216) 928-4400 facsimile jpopson@sutter-law.com broof@sutter-law.com

Counsel for Defendants Kisling Nestico & Redick, LLC, Alberto R. Nestico, and Robert Redick

NSIS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Civ.R. 5(B)(2)(f), the undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Service of Subpoena on Robert Horton was electronically filed on January 15, 2019, using the Court's electronic filing system. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

> <u>/s/ Thomas P. Mannion</u> Thomas P. Mannion (0062551) LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Counsel for Defendants Kisling Nestico & Redick, LLC, Alberto R. Nestico, and Robert Redick

SUBPOENA SUMMIT COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT (CIV. RULE 45)

MEMBER WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff

CASE NO: 2016-CV-09-3928

SUBPOENA IN CIVIL CASE

vs.

KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.,

Defendants

SOBPOENA IN CIVIE CASE

ATTORNEY: Thomas P. Mannion

ADDRESS: Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith 1375 East Ninth Street, Suite 2250 Cleveland, OH 44114 Tom.Mannion@lewisbrisbois.com

SUPREME COURT NO. 0062551

TO: ROBERT HORTON c/o Thomas A. Skidmore Co., LPA One Cascade Piaza, 12th Floor PNC Center Akron, OH 44308

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO:

X. ATTEND AND GIVE TESTIMONY AT DEPOSITION ON February 25, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. at the offices of Thomas A. Skidmore Co., LPA, One Cascade Plaza, 12th Floor, PNC Center, Akron, OH 44308.

You may contact Defendant's counsel, Thomas Mannion, regarding this Subpoena at the above address or by email at <u>tom.mannion@lewisbrisbois.com</u>.

HEREOF FAIL NOT UNDER PENALTY OF LAW.

WITNESS MY SIGNATURE AND SEAL OF SAID COURT, this 10th day of January, 2019.

<u>/s/ Thomas P. Mannion</u> Attorney Thomas P. Mannion

4838-3573-3637.1

PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS:

- A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid
- A party of mixed by toposition box to estantic transfer to the support in the property of the transfer in the property of the support of the su

of this rule may, within fourteen days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than fourteen days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objections to production. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to production except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena, upon notice to the person commanded to produce may move at any time for an order to compel the production. An order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the production commanded.

from the production commanded. 3.On timely motion, the court from which the subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena, or order appearance or production only under specified conditions, if the subpoena does any of the following – Fails to allow reasonable time to comply; requires disclosure of privileged or otherwise protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; requires disclosure of a fact known or opinion held by an expert not retained or specially employed by any party in anticipation of lirigation or preparation for trial as described by CIV R. 26(B)(4), if the fact or opinion does not describe enable does not describe the commence in distance and sender from study by the averat that use not more the transmit of mu party: specific events or occurrences in dispute and results from study by the expert that was not made at request of any party; subjects a person to undue burden.

4.Before filing a motion pursuant to division (C) (3) (d) of this rule, a person resisting discovery under this rule shall attempt to resolve any claim of undue burden through discussions with the issuing attorney. A motion filed pursuant to division (C) (3)(d) of this rule shall be supported by an affidavit of the subpoenaed person or a certificate of that person's attorney of the efforts made to resolve any claim of undue burden.

5. If a motion is made under division(C)(3)(c) or (C)(3)(d) of this rule, the court shall quash or modify the subpoena unless the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated.

DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENAS:

- A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall, at the person's option, produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the subpoena. A person In dot number of the second se
- a subpoena may produce the information in a form or forms in which the information responding is ordinarily maintained if that form is reasonable useable, or in any form that is reasonably useable. Unless ordered by the court or agreed to by the person subpoenaed, a person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- A person need not provide discovery of electronically stored information when the production imposes undue burden or expense. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person from whom electronically stored information is sought must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or expense. If a showing of undue burden or expense is made, the court may nonetheless order production of electronically stored information if the requesting party shows good cause. The court shall consider the factors in Civ. R. 26(B)(4) when determining if good cause exists. In ordering production of electronically stored information, the court may specify the format, extent, timing, allocation of expenses and other conditions for discovery of the electronically stored information. When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial
- preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-5. preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies within the party's possession, custody or control. A party may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for determination of the claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

SANCTIONS:

1. Failure by any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. A subpoenaed person or that person's attorney who frivolously resists discovery under this rule may here into the hope an issued in a support of the present present in the present atoms of the party seeking discovery. The court from which a subpoen was issued may impose upon a party or attorney in breach of the duty imposed by division (C)(1) of this rule an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees.